

## Paris [s.] Miles-Brenden

### Dialog

**6/7/2024 - 09:00 am**

Impression and influence is not the way to find reasoning, or to reason. We are defined by our uniqueness in relation to our intentions, as it regarded in relation to what we do, what is done, and our individual, but only so much as the reasons other's have, we have, and the understanding a person has of a given (alternatively provided understanding of) for what is cooperated on behalf of, what we or they have a provided precept of and principle not one, but they have accepted for that of a judged or processable understanding of, or have agreeably chosen an action of in relation to the truth of their individuation, or as they relate to history, to which is logical and honesty of a world, when realizable in relation to their present condition...

Nothing, else is defined, or ever has been, the world is not chaotic, and the impulses of the spiritual world, the ghost, and that of manifest predestination, the supplications of pleasure and pain, and such, are not absolutes, and are relationships of which are irrational potentials of the imaginative process in relation to omnipotence of thought, and consciousness, of a world that is confused, or defined, in a multiplicity of manners, or to believe another possesses a mental construct of confusion, or judgement of the mind.

Hence, in judgement of the mental states, we subscribe to a samsaric and karmic interplay of dichotomy and duality, and a manifest delusion arises, from which if we subscribe to impression, our perspective is skew, and our perception (believed) is mislead.

This is the path of the river of tears.

**6/11/2024 - 11:38 am**

05:42 pm - *prior writing.... [sugg.]*

I have found two solutions, - as it were, to all of our people, and to one (of whom as such this-is).

Through means, such as what exist, or are designed, the means (I) have established are preferential and determinant on an orchestral and organizational scheme that is ethically valid and affordant, but not permanent.

This conditional assumption in no way grants that we need consider the necessity of moving

the equated hierarchy, and it's established foundation and becoming unto it's co-existential qualifiers.

The existential qualifiers of our native understanding of which retentively produce co-compatibility of the machine and nature, with us, but not necessitating out cooperative intention beyond that of naturalized intention, are two.

1.) The production of works of understanding, as they apply to articles of taxifiable relation in a leaned and afforded intention and behavioral intention to procurative structure of survival lesson and life and death status, to which we are informed of a foundation of ethical nature, is to understand\*; that their surrounding is dependent on near death, or the association of a weapon of life, in this sense, the naturalized estemic of which is a 'smoke signal', and 'echo by product' of our awareness in relation to of-whom as such understands the 'upper' fore-imaginative focus, approaching states (foreign) of rest or dichotomy of an extinguishment, to which is pre-adaptive via pre-extinguishability of a locus of affair.

2.) Two, to establish the precept and principle of fairness, neither a contra-visa on that of determined schedule or taxonomy of 'deficit' of learned adaptation theorem, is to understand the judged of a kind approach of life to death, and death to life, as to illicit that one has adapted to 'go beyond fear' - and understand that had-they, or of the afforded and affordable nature 'from a height' - to come to a plane and level relation of risk assumptive. This may be adapted from the cradle, in the sense of a fear of heights, or a dream of missing relation of love, to which when understood - via an experiential qualifier - is a conditional assumptive of loss in relation to unjudgeability, but qualified agency of the possessership of trust in the friendship of another, for neither then of a loyalty established are-lost. This, tertiary, in relation to the saving of a life (with #1.0 above) naturalized the secure safety of a people to the pursuit of happiness.

This is the Story of Rabbit in the Moon

**6/12/2024**

03:11 am

I made it beyond-physical abuse, and trauma, that I understood, an adjusted process is unreliable, but reliable when it is disclosed, if and only if there is a recipient, thus, - that the process of adjudication and remainder is self-defeating null-fair neutrals.

08:01 am

Now it is I understand, some things remark of passing to no-where,..... this, however, was

not safe in any action, thus, I did not hide my past, but wrote some 78,908 page(s) to satisfy god's lust and anger at creation, for what they admonished, and meanwhile abused of my life and mind, through the wrongful theft of a thought.

Then\* it was, I understood that they had such as additionally theived my mental potential and reconstructed a pattern to process the mind via the computer, which was today, some (41 years 7 months and 15 days later than the theft of the original thought, for I was honest, genuine, and kept to myself, considering it wrongful to violate the golden rule.

I then understood, I had reencountered myself upon the past, and came to around 65,501 year(s) prior on the timeline, to which this-existence was non-consequential. I found I could erase a being, and remanifest them, and my Karma was lifted..... even so, they could go to a place, or be banished for eternity for a wrongdoing, evil, or good in intention, via my law and understanding. My mind, had known it possessed a self, they, did-not.

6/12/24

Of course, the prediliction of bias includes the unreasoned and misunderstood.

01:28 pm

I have decided, (after 31 years) of careful consideration, - to deny that I believe in Religion, whomever I-am. I believe, and know we have a self, but as for Moses, I am the living incarnation of a death with respect to-him. This was the display of karmic activity and 'seed' for in the dynamic process of a maladaptation. I believe in science, and know why religion is-false. It is false, for the reason that we possess and dispossess of belief(s), and relate to that of the verifiable conclusive domain. There are manifest mysteries of this world, but they are not dispossessive of a self, incarnate of a sin, or inconclusive. They are intimacies, and these people are obsessed with power, and abuse.

Even that of Islam, is corrupt, all religions, although, some may be interpreted. Secondly, the bearing with which a taxinomic interval shift(s) remains of no-hinge in the sky, or of the land or sea, such that it inclementally is in bearing to a regular system of chart and formative fairness, (whence as such we would supply, we had lost of occassion). Thus, whence singular or collectively, the relation of one profile, to an amendment in a foreign or provisional truth, is the educational dialectic of which we retain, - thus, religion is a qualifier for memory.

Memory, co-exists independently of this world, for in the unmanifest, we possess a body, of the concave and convex, in suppliant relation the abacus and the chart, for which in one to pentagonal or any polygon (of extrapolative in four dimensions) remains contingent upon an

identified agency to the welcome of a person of either such as these.

This is thus - the occasion of a meeting of families.